3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 3709 /2005

P.R. No. 3825/05 Anupam Bajpai
Presented in Single
dated 5/12/05

Petitioner Krishna Rao Gaikwad, Son of Shri Yashwant Rao Gaikwad, Age 50 years, Assistant Grade-III, Public Health Engineering Project Division, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Superintendent Engineer, Public Health Engineering, Raipur Board, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(133)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3709 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12.08.2005

Shri Ramakant Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel, Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

W.P. No. 3709 of 2005:

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on the post of Assistant Grade-III in P.H.E. department on 20.4.1981. His services were confirmed on the said post on 27.2.1994. He submits that the gradation list of the department was published, the seniority which has been fixed in case of the petitioner was fixed on the basis of calculations from the date of confirmation i.e.27.2.1994. He further submits that in such a case, according to the rules, seniority of the petitioner should have been fixed after calculating his services w.e.f. 20.4.1981 i.e. from the initial date of his appointment and not from 27.2.1994 i.e. from the date of confirmation.

For the time being, learned counsel for the petitioner makes this limited prayer that the petitioner may be directed to file a detailed representation before the competent authority and the competent authority should be directed to dispose of his representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel.

Accordingly, the prayer is allowed.

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

34

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... 3709/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	क़ार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	If so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation along with a copy of this order and also a copy of this writ petition before the competent authority within a period of 15 days from today and if such a representation is filed, the competent authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 6 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the said representation by passing a reasoned order on the grounds raised by the petitioner and indicated by this Court in the earlier part of this order. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands finally disposed of. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	